

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/817,419	04/01/2004	Judy M. Gehman	03-2477/L13.12-0258	1307	
Leo J. Peters	7590 02/05/2008		EXAMINER		
LSI Logic Cor		• .	VIDWAN, JASJIT S		
Milpitas, CA 9	ane, MS D-106 5035		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
• ,			2182		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/05/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action

Applicant(s)		
GEHMAN ET AL.		
Art Unit		
2182		

	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Jasjit S. Vidwan	2182					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
	THE REPLY FILED 15 January 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a)	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.						
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).						
nave tunder set for may re	sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sthin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late educe any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) CE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Office	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
2. 🗌	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complian filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th					
a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because								
	(a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	ow);						
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or			the issues for				
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.					
=	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s)would be allow		alv filed emandment	aanaalina tha				
	non-allowable claim(s).	•	•	_				
	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of				
	Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	·						
	Claim(s) rejected:							
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
В. 🔲	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and				
9. 🔲	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to determine the second of the second	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. 🗀	showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:								
See Continuation sheet								
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			•				
			JSV 1/31/08					
		•						

Application/Control Number:

10/817,419

Art Unit: 2182

Page 2

1. Continuation of 11: Applicant's arguments filed 01/15/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that prior art fails to teach: (a) device hardware abstraction layer defining values for registers of the peripheral device and (b) platform hardware abstraction layer defining an address map to initialize each instantiation of the peripheral device.

- 2. With respect to argument (a), Examiner disagrees. Bowen teaches providing an abstraction layer that defines and sets register values for external resources in order to allow the host processor to control peripheral devices [see Paragraph 0170 & 073 "registers (referencing registers of the external resources) are defined..."]
- 3. With respect to argument (b), **Examiner disagrees.** Bowen teaches providing a software code block to initialize and configure available resources (e.g. peripheral devices) on the host processor [see Paragraphs 0105 0112]

JSV

2/4/08

ALFORD KINDRED SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER